

# DERIVED STRUCTURES IN THE LANGLANDS PROGRAM - INTRODUCTION I

JAMES NEWTON

*A talk in the Derived Structures in the Langlands Program study group at UCL in Spring 2019. These are notes taken by Ashwin Iyengar (ashwin.iyengar@kcl.ac.uk) and edited by James Newton (j.newton@kcl.ac.uk). All errors/omissions/misrepresentations are James's responsibility.*

## 1. COHOMOLOGY OF ARITHMETIC GROUPS AND AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

**1.1. Symmetric Spaces.** Let  $G$  be a semisimple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$ : as an example, one could take a number field  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  and then take  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{SL}_n$ .

Then the **symmetric space** for  $G$  is given by  $X = G(\mathbf{R})/K_\infty^\circ$ , where  $K_\infty^\circ$  is a maximal connected compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\text{SL}_2)$  as above and  $F$  has signature  $(r, s)$  (i.e.  $F$  has  $r$  real embeddings and  $s$  pairs of complex embeddings), then

$$X = (\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_2(\mathbf{R}))^r \times (\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})/\text{SU}(2))^s.$$

Note that  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})/\text{SO}_2(\mathbf{R})$  is isomorphic to hyperbolic 2-space  $\mathcal{H}^2$  (i.e. the complex upper half plane with the hyperbolic metric) and  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbf{C})/\text{SU}(2)$  is similarly hyperbolic 3-space  $\mathcal{H}^3$ .

The symmetric space  $X$  is a real manifold, but for dimension reasons may not have a complex structure: for example, if  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  is an imaginary quadratic extension, then  $X \cong \mathcal{H}^3$ , which is a 3-dimensional real manifold.

**1.2. Locally Symmetric Spaces.** Let  $K = \prod_p K_p \subset G(\mathbf{A}_\mathbf{Q}^\infty)$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_\mathbf{Q}^\infty$  is the ring of finite adèles (of  $\mathbf{Q}$ ) and each  $K_p \subset G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is a compact open subgroup. Then the **locally symmetric space** attached to  $K$  (and  $G$ ) is

$$Y(K) := G(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash [X \times G(\mathbf{A}_\mathbf{F})/K]$$

One can show that in fact, there exist finitely many arithmetic groups  $\Gamma_i$  acting on  $X$  for which

$$Y(K) = \bigsqcup_i \Gamma_i \backslash X$$

When  $\Gamma_i$  are small enough (neat) then each  $\Gamma_i$  acts freely and properly discontinuously on  $X$ , and  $Y(K)$  is naturally a smooth manifold (locally isomorphic to  $X$ , hence a *locally symmetric space*).

**1.3. Cohomology.** For us, the primary object of study will be the singular cohomology  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z})$  which is alternatively computed as the group cohomology  $\bigoplus_{i,n \geq 0} H^n(\Gamma_i, \mathbf{Z})$ . There is a Hecke algebra  $\mathbf{T}$  acting on  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z})$ , and the complex vector space  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{C})$ , together with its  $\mathbf{T}$ -module structure, can be described in terms of automorphic representations of  $G$ .

**Example 1.3.1.** If  $G = \text{SL}_{2,\mathbf{Q}}$ , then this is the relationship between modular forms and cohomology of modular curves via the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism. In general, this relationship is given by a theorem of Franke (or Matsushima's formula if  $Y(K)$  is compact, or we restrict attention to the contribution of *cuspidal* automorphic representations).

---

*Date:* January 9, 2019.

**Remark 1.3.1.** Only a special subset of automorphic representations contribute to  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{C})$ : for cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  we see a contribution to  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{C})$  if  $\pi_\infty$  has non-vanishing  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$ -cohomology (c.f. Matsushima's formula) and  $(\pi^\infty)^K \neq 0$ .

Fix a *cuspidal tempered* system of Hecke eigenvalues  $\chi : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ . Here we say that a system of Hecke eigenvalues is cuspidal and tempered if all of the automorphic representations  $\pi$  with this system of Hecke eigenvalues are cuspidal and tempered (at Archimedean places).

**Proposition 1.3.1** (Borel–Wallach + Matsushima's Formula). *The generalized  $\chi$ -eigenspaces  $H^i(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  satisfy:*

- (1)  $H^i(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi = 0$  if  $i \notin [q_0(G), q_0(G) + \ell_0(G)]$
- (2)  $\dim H^i(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi = \dim H^{q_0(G)}(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi \times \binom{\ell_0(G)}{i - q_0(G)}$

The integers  $\ell_0(G)$  and  $q_0(G)$  (especially  $\ell_0(G)$ ) will be extremely important for the rest of the study group: we define

$$\ell_0(G) = \text{rank } G(\mathbf{R}) - \text{rank } K_\infty,$$

and we define

$$q_0(G) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim Y(K) - \ell_0(G)).$$

**Example 1.3.2.** Say  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  has signature  $(r, s)$ , as before. Let  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{SL}_{2,F}$ . Then  $\ell_0(G) = s$  and  $q_0(G) = r + s$ . In this case

$$X = (\mathcal{H}^2)^r \times (\mathcal{H}^3)^s,$$

and  $\dim Y(K) = 2r + 3s$ . Therefore,  $H^i(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  is nonzero for  $i = r + s, \dots, r + 2s$ .

Note that  $\binom{\ell_0(G)}{i - q_0(G)}$  is the dimension of  $\bigwedge^{i - q_0(G)} V$  where  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $\ell_0(G)$ . Thus, we are tempted by 1.3.1(2) to guess that  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  is generated by  $H^{q_0(G)}(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  by the action of some exterior algebra acting on cohomology. In fact, this is exactly what Venkatesh hopes to be true:

**Conjecture 1.3.1** (Venkatesh, see for example the introduction to [7]).  *$H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  is generated by  $H^{q_0(G)}(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi$  by the action of some exterior algebra acting on cohomology. In particular, this exterior algebra should come from a motivic cohomology group.*

1.3.1. *Addendum: The case of  $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{GL}_1$ .* It's instructive to consider the example  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{GL}_1$ ,  $F$  with signature  $(r, s)$ . Of course this isn't semisimple, but we can set up everything discussed above for reductive groups as well. The analogue of the symmetric space is:

$$X := \text{GL}_1(F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}) / \mathbf{R}_{>0} K_\infty^\circ$$

where  $K_\infty^\circ = \text{SU}(1)^s$  is the maximal connected compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbf{R})$ .

In this case, for  $K \subset \text{GL}_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty)$  compact open, we have a surjective map

$$Y(K) := F^\times \backslash [X \times \text{GL}_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) / K] \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K) := F^\times \backslash [\text{GL}_1(\mathbf{A}_F^\infty) / ((\mathbf{R}_{>0})^r \times (\mathbf{C}^\times)^s) K]$$

to a (*finite*) adelic generalized class group (if  $K = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F$  then  $\text{Cl}(K)$  is the narrow class group of  $F$ ). Assuming that  $F^\times \cap K$  is sufficiently small (more precisely, that  $F^\times \cap K$  is a torsion-free finite index subgroup of the totally positive global units  $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times,+}$ ), Dirichlet's unit theorem implies that the fibres of this map can be identified with a real torus of dimension  $r + s - 1$ :

$$T(K) = (F^\times \cap K) \backslash ((\mathbf{R}_{>0})^r \times (\mathbf{C}^\times / \text{SU}(1))^s) / \mathbf{R}_{>0}.$$

In particular, the cohomology of  $Y(K)$  is a direct sum of  $|\text{Cl}(K)|$  copies of an exterior algebras on the free rank  $r + s - 1$  Abelian group  $F^\times \cap K$ .

2.  $\ell_0(G)$  AND GALOIS COHOMOLOGY

Let  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \text{SL}_{n,F}$ , and let  $\chi : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be a cuspidal tempered system of Hecke eigenvalues. Assume that  $H^{q_0(G)}(Y(K), \mathbf{Q})_\chi \neq 0$ .

We assume the following (vaguely stated) conjecture about the existence of Galois representations:

**Conjecture 2.0.1.** *For each prime  $p$  there exists a geometric Galois representation  $\rho_\chi : G_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$  such that  $\rho_\chi(\text{Frob}_v)$  is described in terms of  $\chi$  for almost all places  $v$  of  $F$ .*

When  $F$  is CM and  $p$  is sufficiently large (depending on  $n$ ), this conjecture is known (modulo the difference between  $\text{SL}_n$  and  $\text{GL}_n$ , which is not so serious) [5, 6, 1].

We can define Galois cohomology groups for the adjoint representation  $\text{Ad } \rho_\chi$  (which is an  $n^2 - 1$  dimensional representation of  $G_F$ ) and a Bloch-Kato Selmer group

$$H_f^1(G_F, \text{Ad } \rho_\chi) \subset H^1(G_F, \text{Ad } \rho_\chi).$$

There is also a dual Selmer group

$$H_f^1(G_F, (\text{Ad } \rho_\chi)^*(1)) \subset H^1(G_F, (\text{Ad } \rho_\chi)^*(1)).$$

where (1) denotes a Tate twist by the cyclotomic character.

**Fact 2.0.1** (Greenberg-Wiles). *Assuming  $\rho_\chi$  is irreducible and odd (odd says something about the image of complex conjugation under  $\rho_\chi$ )<sup>1</sup>*

$$\ell_0(G) = \dim H_f^1(G_F, (\text{Ad } \rho_\chi)^*(1)) - \dim H_f^1(G_F, \text{Ad } \rho_\chi).$$

This fact follows from a computation using Tate global duality, which is also a key computation in the Taylor-Wiles method (and its extension by Calegari and Geraghty to situations with  $\ell_0(G) > 0$ . We should also note that we expect  $\dim H_f^1(G_F, \text{Ad } \rho_\chi) = 0$  — this would be a consequence of the Bloch-Kato conjecture. See [3].

Thus we see the constant  $\ell_0(G)$  defined before on the “automorphic side” appearing in the completely different “Galois” side.

3. PATCHING AND  $H_*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)$ 

Here we give a Galois theoretic explanation of Venkatesh’s conjecture and the exterior algebra structures appearing in Proposition 1.3.1, via the obstructed Taylor-Wiles method.

Let  $\chi : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be as before, and fix a prime  $p$ . Then we may look at the reduced system of eigenvalues  $\overline{\chi} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$ . This determines a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbf{T}$ , and then  $\mathbf{T}_\mathfrak{m}$  is a local  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -algebra which can be shown to act on  $H_*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)_\mathfrak{m}$  (we have switched from cohomology to homology here for convenience, although they encode the same information).

Assuming Conjecture 2.0.1, we then have a Galois representation  $\rho_\chi$  attached to  $\chi$ , and we can look at its reduction mod  $p$ , which we will denote

$$\overline{\rho}_\mathfrak{m} = \overline{\rho}_\chi : G_F \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p).$$

In optimal circumstances, the Calegari-Geraghty method of [2] allows us to describe  $H_*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z})_\mathfrak{m}$  in a rather elaborate way, using the following auxiliary objects:

- A map of power series algebras over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ ,  $S_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty$  such that  $\dim R_\infty = \dim S_\infty - \ell_0(G)$ . (This numerology arises from the same Galois cohomology calculation as Fact 2.0.1)

<sup>1</sup>These properties are expected to always hold for  $\rho_\chi$

- A free  $R_\infty$ -module  $M_\infty$ , and an isomorphism

$$R_\infty \otimes_{S_\infty} \mathbf{Z}_p \cong R_{\bar{\rho}_\chi},$$

where the map  $S_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$  is given by sending all of the power series variables to 0, and where  $R_{\bar{\rho}_\chi}$  is a certain geometric deformation ring of  $\bar{\rho}_\chi$ .

In nice enough cases (and assuming enough conjectures), Calegari–Geraghty show that  $R_{\bar{\rho}_\chi} \cong \mathbf{T}_m$  and that

$$H_{q_0(G)+i}(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)_m = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{S_\infty}(M_\infty, \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Note that since  $R_\infty$  acts on  $M_\infty$ , we get a graded action of  $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{S_\infty}(R_\infty, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  on  $H_*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

**Example 3.0.1.** To see how this relates to Venkatesh’s conjecture, suppose  $\mathbf{T}_m = \mathbf{Z}_p$  (so we have a Galois representation  $\rho_m$  with  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  coefficients lifting  $\bar{\rho}_m$ ). In this case we can take  $R_\infty = \mathbf{Z}_p$  as well, and  $S_\infty = \mathbf{Z}_p[[x_1, \dots, x_{\ell_0(G)}]]$ . Then

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^{S_\infty}(R_\infty, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathbf{Z}_p[[x_1, \dots, x_{\ell_0(G)}]]}(\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Z}_p),$$

which is the exterior algebra of a free rank  $\ell_0(G)$   $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module. See, example, Corollary 4.5.5 and the subsequent exercises in [8].

Thus, we get the conjectured graded action, which should be motivic in origin — indeed the free rank  $\ell_0(G)$   $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module which appears can be identified with the Selmer group  $H_f^1(G_F, (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_m)^*(1))$ .

In [4], Galatius and Venkatesh describe  $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{S_\infty}(R_\infty, \mathbf{Z}_p)$  as the homotopy groups of a simplicial ring, which is the *derived* deformation ring of the Galois representation  $\bar{\rho}_\chi$ . This recovers the Tor-algebra in a canonical way. In [7] (assuming various hypotheses and conjectures), Venkatesh shows that the action of the Tor-algebra on homology is also canonical, using the derived Hecke algebra which we briefly introduce next.

#### 4. DERIVED HECKE ALGEBRA

In addition to the Galois-theoretic explanation of the exterior algebra action, Venkatesh also gives a Hecke-theoretic explanation. One of the goals of [7] is to upgrade the action of  $\mathbf{T}$  on  $H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)$  to an action of a graded algebra  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}$ , whose degree zero part is  $\mathbf{T}$ . This is the “derived Hecke algebra”. In particular, the action is graded, and we want a surjection (perhaps only after inverting  $p$ )

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}} \otimes_{\mathbf{T}} H^{q_0(G)}(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)_m \twoheadrightarrow H^*(Y(K), \mathbf{Z}_p)_m.$$

When  $\mathbf{T}_m = \mathbf{Z}_p$ , Venkatesh proves that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_m = \wedge^* H_f^1(G_F, (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_m)^*(1))^*,$$

and compares the action of the derived Hecke algebra with the action of  $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{S_\infty}(R_\infty, \mathbf{Z}_p) = \wedge^* H_f^1(G_F, (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_m)^*(1))$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Patrick B. Allen, Frank Calegari, Ana Caraiani, Toby Gee, David Helm, Bao V. Le Hung, James Newton, Peter Scholze, Richard Taylor, and Jack A. Thorne. Potential automorphy over CM fields. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1812.09999, December 2018.
- [2] Frank Calegari and David Geraghty. Modularity lifting beyond the Taylor-Wiles method. *Invent. Math.*, 211(1):297–433, 2018.
- [3] Frank Calegari, David Geraghty, and Michael Harris. Bloch-Kato conjectures for automorphic motives. <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~fcale/papers/BK.pdf>.
- [4] S. Galatius and A. Venkatesh. Derived Galois deformation rings. *Adv. Math.*, 327:470–623, 2018.
- [5] Michael Harris, Kai-Wen Lan, Richard Taylor, and Jack Thorne. On the rigid cohomology of certain Shimura varieties. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 3:3:37, 2016.

- [6] Peter Scholze. On torsion in the cohomology of locally symmetric varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 182(3):945–1066, 2015.
- [7] Akshay Venkatesh. Derived Hecke algebra and cohomology of arithmetic groups. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1608.07234, August 2016.
- [8] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.