

COHOMOLOGY OF ARITHMETIC GROUPS

JOAQUIN RODRIGUES JACINTO
NOTES BY POL VAN HOFTEN AND EDITED BY THE SPEAKER

Let G be a semisimple real lie group with Γ a discrete cocompact¹ subgroup that is torsion free. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and let V be a finite dimensional complex continuous representation of G that we might assume irreducible. We are interested in studying the cohomology groups

$$H^*(\Gamma, V),$$

which, as we have seen in the previous lectures, are closely related to the theory of automorphic forms. We will show the following results:

- The cohomology groups can be computed as

$$H^n(\Gamma, V) = H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V).$$

- These (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology groups can be decomposed as

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) H^n(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V),$$

where (π, H_{π}) runs over certain representations of G . This is known as Matsushima's formula.

- We will finally study the groups

$$H^k(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V)$$

in more detail. In particular, we will show that, for certain representations π , they vanish outside certain range and we will calculate their dimension.

1. COHOMOLOGY AND DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Reference: [BW00, §VII.2]

Let $X := G/K$ denote the symmetric space associated to G , it is simply connected and contractible.

1.1. Differential forms. Let $\mathcal{A}^q = \mathcal{A}^q(X, V)$ denote the smooth V -valued differential forms of degree q on X with the usual differentials $d : \mathcal{A}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{q+1}$ given by

$$d\omega(v_1, \dots, v_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^i v_i \cdot \omega(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_q) + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([v_i, v_j], v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_q),$$

where $v_i \cdot \omega(v_1, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_q)$ denotes the differentiation of the function ω in the direction v_i , $[,]$ refers to the bracket of vector fields, and $\hat{}$ means omission of the corresponding argument.

¹We do not say anything about the non-compact case due to lack of time, but that case is of particular interest. See [BW00, §XIV] for the analogous statements in this context.

Proposition 1. *There is an canonical isomorphism*

$$H^*(\Gamma, V) = H^*(\Gamma \backslash X, \tilde{V})$$

where \tilde{V} is the local system on $\Gamma \backslash X$ associated to V .

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that $\Gamma \backslash X$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space, i.e. $\pi_1(\Gamma \backslash X) = \Gamma$ and all its other homotopy groups vanish. \square

The comparison between de Rham and singular cohomology now gives us the following Corollary:

Corollary 1. *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^*(\Gamma, V) \cong H^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet(\Gamma \backslash X, \tilde{V})) \cong H^*(\mathcal{A}^\bullet(X, \tilde{V})^\Gamma).$$

1.2. **(\mathfrak{g}, K) modules and (\mathfrak{g}, K)-cohomology.** Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of G . Recall that a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module is a vector space W over \mathbb{R} which is a \mathfrak{g} -module and a K -module with the obvious compatibility condition. Namely we ask

- $\pi(k) \cdot (\pi(X) \cdot v) = \pi(\text{Ad } k(X)) \cdot (\pi(k) \cdot v)$, for all $k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in W$,
- If $F \subseteq W$ is a K -stable finite dimensional subspace, then the representation of K is differentiable, and has $\pi|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ as differential.

Example 1. If V is a representation of G , then the subspace of smooth and K -finite vectors of V is a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module.

Definition 1. *For V a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module we define*

$$\mathcal{C}^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}, V) = (\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}^* \otimes V)^{K/K^0}$$

where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan decomposition. There are differentials defined in the same way as was done in §1.1 which gives us a complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ and we define the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology of V as the cohomology groups of this complex:

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) := H^*(\mathcal{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)).$$

The left translation by elements $g \in G$ provides an isomorphism between the tangent space at g and the tangent space at the identity element, and hence an identification

$$\mathcal{A}^q(\Gamma \backslash X, V) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}/K, \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G)V) = \mathcal{C}^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V).$$

An explicit computation of the differentials now gives:

Proposition 2. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^*(\Gamma \backslash X, \tilde{V}) = H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V)$$

2. MATSUSHIMA'S FORMULA

Reference: [BW00, §VII.3-6]

Let $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G, V)$ be the space of square-integrable V -valued functions of $\Gamma \backslash G$. This is acted upon by G and decomposes as

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) \cdot H_{\pi},$$

a direct sum of irreducible representations with finite multiplicities. Moreover one has

$$\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G) = (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))^{\infty} = \left(\widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) \cdot H_{\pi} \right)^{\infty}.$$

where $(-)^{\infty}$ means taking smooth vectors.

Proposition 3 (Matsushima's formula, [BW00, VII.3.2 Theorem]). *We have*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V) = \bigoplus_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) \cdot H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V)$$

where the direct sum is now finite.

Proof. The previously stated facts give us

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes V) = H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \left(\widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) \cdot H_{\pi} \right)^{\infty} \otimes V).$$

We want to show that the right hand side term equals

$$\bigoplus_{\pi} m(\pi, \Gamma) H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V).$$

Let now $S \subseteq \widehat{G}$ be a finite set of representations. Then we can decompose

$$H^*(\Gamma, V) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in S} m(\pi, \Gamma) H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V) \oplus H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; \left(\widehat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \notin S} m(\pi, \Gamma) \cdot H_{\pi} \right)^{\infty} \otimes V).$$

The compactness assumption on Γ tells us that the cohomology $H^*(\Gamma, V)$ of the arithmetic group is finite dimensional. We deduce, for dimension reasons, that, for a large enough S , we have

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; H_{\pi} \otimes V) = 0 \quad \forall \pi \notin S.$$

We have hence reduced then to proving that, if each (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology of a countable collection of irreducible unitary representations of G vanishes, then the cohomology of its closed direct sum vanishes as well. This is not very hard and follows from a topological argument (cf. [BW00, VII.3.3 Lemma] for the details). \square

Summarising, we have reduced our computation of $H^*(\Gamma, V)$ to the study the (\mathfrak{g}, K) cohomology groups of certain representations of the form $H_{\pi} \otimes V$, where H_{π} is a unitary (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module and V is a finite dimensional (irreducible) complex continuous representation of G .

Remark 1. We will give later a necessary condition for π to appear in the the above sum. A precise characterisation of the representations π that contribute to $H^*(\Gamma, V)$ have been described by Vogan-Zuckerman.

3. CALCULATION OF THE (\mathfrak{g}, K) -COHOMOLOGY

Reference: [BW00, §II].

Let (ρ, E) be a finite dimensional irreducible complex representations of G ² and (σ, H) be a unitary (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module. Let $V = H \otimes E$ and $\tau = \rho \otimes \sigma$. With an eye on Matsushima's formula, we want to study the cohomology groups $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ in this particular case.

3.1. The Casimir element. Let (y_i) be a basis of \mathfrak{g} and (y'_i) be its dual basis with respect to the Killing form. Then

$$C = \sum_i y_i \cdot y'_i$$

is an element of the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} , independent of the choice of basis, and is called the Casimir element. By Schur's lemma, C must act as a scalar on any representation.

Proposition 4 ([BW00, §II.3.1 Proposition]). *Assume that $\rho(C) = s \cdot \text{Id}$ and $\sigma(C) = r \cdot \text{Id}$. Then*

- *If $r \neq s$ then $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) = 0$.*
- *If $r = s$ then $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}, V)$.*

Proof. The proof follows these steps:

- (1) One defines an inner product on

$$\mathcal{D}^q(V) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}, V) = (\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}) \otimes H \otimes E$$

(observe that we are just taking \mathbb{R} -linear homomorphisms and hence $\mathcal{D}^q(V)$ is bigger than $\mathcal{C}^q(V)$) by taking the tensor products of the inner products on each term, which we call $(-, -)_V$. We can then define an adjoint $\partial : \mathcal{D}^q \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{q-1}$ of d for the inner product $(-, -)_V$ and shows the that

$$\Delta := \partial d + d \partial$$

acts on $\mathcal{C}^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ as $(\rho(C) - \sigma(C)) \cdot \text{Id}$ and that if $\Delta = 0$ then $d = \partial = 0$ (the first assertion follows from a direct calculation and the last one follows from the non-degeneracy of the bilinear pairing).

- (2) If $r \neq s$ then for $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ a q -cocycle, we have

$$\Delta \eta = d \partial \eta + \partial d \eta = d \partial \eta$$

and so $\eta = (r - s)^{-1} d \partial \eta$ is a coboundary.

- (3) If $r = s$ then $\Delta = 0$ and so $d = 0$ and hence every chain is closed, which gives

$$H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) = \text{Hom}_K(\wedge^q \mathfrak{p}, V).$$

□

Corollary 2. *For trivial coefficients, we can identify*

$$(\wedge^q \mathfrak{p})^K$$

with the G -invariant differential forms on G/K . The result says that all such forms are harmonic, recovering an old result of Cartan

²We switch notation and denote the representation V from the previous sections by E .

Corollary 3. *The representations π contributing to the some in Proposition 3 are such that $\chi_\pi = \chi_{\rho^*}$ and $\omega_\pi = \omega_{\rho^*}$, where ρ^* denotes the contragredient representation of ρ and χ_π (resp. χ_{ρ^*}) and ω_π (resp. ω_{ρ^*}) denote the infinitesimal and central characters of π (resp. ρ^*).*

4. COHOMOLOGY OF TEMPERED REPRESENTATIONS

Reference: [BW00, §III].

In this section, we calculate the dimension of the (\mathfrak{g}, K) -cohomology groups $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V)$ for certain representations $V = H \otimes E$. In particular, we will see that they vanish outside a certain range which is given in purely in terms of G and K .

4.1. Parabolic induction. Let's start with a definition.

Definition 2. *A parabolic pair is a pair (P, A) where P is a parabolic subgroup and A is a split component of a maximal torus in the Levi of P . Say $(P, A) < (P', A')$ if $P \subset P'$ and $A \supset A'$ and we fix a minimal parabolic pair (P_0, A_0) . We say that a parabolic (P, A) is standard (w.r.t. the the chosen minimal parabolic pair) if it is greater than the minimal one.*

Let (P, A) be a standard parabolic pair. Recall the Levi decomposition

$$P = MN = A^0MN.$$

Let (σ, H_σ) be an admissible representations of M with infinitesimal character χ_σ and let $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Given this data, we define the parabolically induced representation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{P, \sigma, \nu} &= \text{Ind}_P^G(H_\sigma \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_P + \nu}) \\ &= \{f \in C^\infty(G, H_\sigma) \mid f(man \cdot g) = a^{\rho_P + \nu} \sigma(n)f(g)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_P \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ is a usual normalisation factor defined as $\rho_P(a) = \det(\text{Ad } a|_{\mathfrak{n}_P})^{1/2}$. This has an action of G by right translation which makes it into an admissible representations of G , which is unitary if $\sigma \otimes \nu$ is unitary, with infinitesimal character $\chi_{\rho_\sigma + \nu}$.

Definition 3. *We call (P, A) cuspidal if 0M has a compact Cartan subgroup.*

4.2. Cohomology of induced representations.

Proposition 5 ([BW00, III.5.1 Theorem]). *Let (P, A) be a standard cuspidal parabolic pair of G . Let (σ, H_σ) be a discrete series representations of 0M , $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ purely imaginary and $I = I_{P, \sigma, \nu}$. Finally let E be an irreducible and finite dimensional complex representation of G . Then*

- (1) $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; I \otimes E) = 0$ if $q \notin [q_0, q_0 + l_0]$
- (2) If $H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; I \otimes E) \neq 0$ then it has dimension

$$\binom{l_0}{q - q_0}.$$

Recall that the invariants q_0, l_0 are defined as $l_0 = l_0(G) = \text{rk}(G) - \text{rk}(K)$ and that

$$q_0 = q_0(G) = \frac{\dim(G/K) - l_0}{2}$$

Sketch of proof. This is a very deep result with a very involved proof. We content ourselves with sketching the main steps of its proof, unfortunately omitting way too many details.

- (1) First one proves (cf. [BW00, §III.2.5]) a version Shapiro's Lemma and obtains

$$H^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; I \otimes E) = H^q(\mathfrak{p}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}; H_{\sigma, \nu} \otimes E),$$

where $H_{\sigma, \nu} = H_{\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\rho_{\mathfrak{p}} + \nu}$.

- (2) There is a Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (cf. [BW00, §I.6.5]) which reads

$$E_2^{p, q} := H^p(\mathfrak{m}, K_P; H^q(\mathfrak{n}, K_N; E) \otimes H_{\sigma, \nu}) \implies H^{p+q}(\mathfrak{p}, K_{\mathfrak{p}}; H_{\sigma, \nu} \otimes E).$$

- (3) One needs now to understand the groups $H^q(\mathfrak{n}, K_N; E)$ as \mathfrak{m} -representations. This is a theorem of Kostant ([BW00, III.3.1 Theorem]):

$$H^q(\mathfrak{n}, E) = \bigoplus_{\substack{s \in W_P \\ l(s) = q}} L_s$$

where W_P is a system of representatives of $W_M \backslash W_G$ (the quotient of the Weyl groups), and the L_s are certain representations which depend on s and on the maximal weight λ of E . Using this one shows that

$$H^{q+l(s)}(\mathfrak{g}, K; I \otimes E) = (H^*(\mathfrak{m}, K_P; H_{\sigma} \otimes L_s) \otimes \wedge^* \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)^q.$$

The first factor of the RHS is concentrated in degree $q(^0M) := (\dim ^0M - \dim K \cap ^0M)/2$ and has dimension 1 ([BW00, §II.5.4 and II.s.7]). Observe also that $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ has dimension ℓ_0 . This proves that

$$H^{q+l(s)}(\mathfrak{g}, K, I \otimes E) = \wedge^j \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$$

where $j = q - q(^0M)$. This already shows that the dimensions of the cohomology groups are given by some combinatorial numbers and one needs to check that the non-vanishing range is the one claimed in the statement of the Proposition. Finally one shows that in fact $l(s) = \frac{\dim N}{2}$ and that moreover

$$q_0(G) = q(^0M) + \frac{\dim N}{2},$$

and the result follows. □

REFERENCES

- [BW00] A. Borel and N. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*. Second. Vol. 67. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000, pp. xviii+260. ISBN: 0-8218-0851-6. DOI: 10.1090/surv/067. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/067>.